1 2

3

4

5

6

7

DIANA ZOE ELLIS,

-vs-

EQUIFAX,

TRANSUNION, EXPERIAN, and

8

9

11

12

17

18

16

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

NO. CV-05-279-LRS

011.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On October 13, 2005, the Court entered an Order directing the Plaintiff to file an amended complaint, after finding the plaintiff's pleadings failed to meet the minimum requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8. On October 24, 2005, the Court entered a second order granting the plaintiff leave to amend, after plaintiff filed a response which did not comply with the Court's October 13th order. The deadline for plaintiff to file an Amended Complaint was December 14, 2005. Plaintiff filed pleadings on October 31, 2005 and November 1, 2005 which assert disagreement with the Court's October 24th order and claim the Court and the Clerk of the Court have not properly processed this case. The Court has given the plaintiff two opportunities to amend her

complaint to bring it in compliance with federal law and the local rules. The Court is mindful that the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* and that his submissions should be held to less stringent standards than formal

pleadings drafted by lawyers. However, plaintiff's pleadings have wholly failed to comply with this Court's orders. Thus the defects of Plaintiff's complaint remain uncured. The Court's October 24 the Order advised the plaintiff that should she fail to file an Amended Complaint on or before December 14th or fail to comply with the Court's orders, this action would be dismissed in its entirety. Accordingly, Plaintiff's failure to file an amended complaint as instructed, leaves this Court with no choice but to dismiss this action. Should plaintiff wish to refile her case, she must file a complaint complying with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this Court.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

For the reasons set forth above and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), this action is dismissed without prejudice. The court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is ordered to provide copies of this Order to the Plaintiff and close the file.

DATED this <u>14th</u> day of February, 2006.

20 s/Lonny R. Suko
LONNY R. SUKO
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE